

REMARKS

Claims 2-44 remain pending in the present application. Claim 1 is canceled herein. Claims 2-3, 5-6, 9-14 and 31-44 are amended herein. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner has indicated that Claims 16-30 are allowable. Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for allowing Claims 16-30.

The Examiner has further indicated that Claims 31-44 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Applicants have amended Claims 31-44 herein to include the feature “non-transitory computer-readable medium” thereby overcoming the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101. As such, Applicants respectfully submit Claims 31-44 are in condition for allowance.

The Examiner has also indicated that Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the features of the base claim and any intervening Claims.

Applicants have amended Claim 6 herein to be in condition for allowance as stated by the Examiner in the present Office Action. Specifically, Applicants have amended now Independent Claim 6 to include the features of Claim 6 and the previous base Claim 1.

Further, Claim 1 has been canceled and Claims 2-5 and 7-15 are now dependent on allowable Independent Claim 6.

As such, Applicants respectfully submit that the present Application includes no contested Claims and therefore respectfully request issuance of allowable Claims 2-44.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §101

In the Office Action, Claims 31-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 “because the claimed invention is not limited to statutory subject matter.”

Claims 31-44 are amended herein to include the feature “non-transitory computer-readable medium” thereby overcoming the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101. As such, Applicants respectfully submit Claims 31-44 are in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

The present office action states that Claims 1-5 and 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Raley et al. (2002/0108050).

Claim 1

Claim 1 is canceled herein. Therefore, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) of Claim 1 is moot.

Claims 2-5 and 7-15

Applicants have amended Claim 6 to be in condition for allowance as stated by the Examiner in the present Office Action and Claims 2-5 and 7-15 are dependent on allowable Independent Claim 6.

For this reason, Applicants respectfully submit the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) of Claims 1-5 and 7-15 is moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for allowing Claims 16-30.

Applicants also wish to thank the Examiner for indicating that Claims 31-44 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Applicants have amended Claims 31-44 herein to include the feature “non-transitory computer-readable medium” thereby overcoming the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101. As such, Applicants respectfully submit Claims 31-44 are in condition for allowance.

Applicants also wish to thank the Examiner for indicating Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the features of the base claim and any intervening Claims.

As stated herein, Applicants have amended Claim 6 to be in condition for allowance as stated by the Examiner in the present Office Action. Specifically, Applicants have amended now Independent Claim 6 to include the features of Claim 6 and the previous base Claim 1. Moreover, Claim 1 is canceled herein and Claims 2-5 and 7-15 are now dependent on allowable Independent Claim 6.

As such, Applicants respectfully submit that the present Application includes no contested Claims and therefore respectfully request issuance of allowable Claims 2-44.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request issuance of the allowable Claims 2-44.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted,
Wagner Blecher LLP

Date: October 28, 2010 _____ /John P. Wagner, Jr./ _____

John P. Wagner, Jr.
Reg. No. 35,398

WESTRIDGE BUSINESS PARK
123 WESTRIDGE DRIVE
WATSONVILLE, CALIFORNIA 95076
(408) 377-0500